

# From microscopic dynamics to macroscopic irreversibility

A. Pérez-Madrid

*Departament de Física Fonamental , Facultat de Física,  
Universitat de Barcelona. Diagonal 647, 08028 Barcelona, Spain*

## Abstract

In this contribution we prove that the entropy of an  $N$ -body isolated system can not decrease and the entropy production should be non-negative provided the system possesses an equilibrium state. We define the entropy as a functional of the set of  $n$ -particle reduced density operators ( $n \leq N$ ) generalizing the von Neumann fine-grained entropy formula. Additionally, as a consequence of our analysis we find the expression of the equilibrium  $n$ -particle reduced density operators which enter the definition of the entropy as well as the dissipated energy in an irreversible process.

PACS numbers: 05.30.-d, 05.70.Ln, 51.10.+y

*Introduction.*- It is a widely recognized fact that a general mathematical theoretical proof of the second law is still lacking. As stated in Ref. [1] and quoted here just for illustration sake "To the best of our knowledge no theoretical mathematical derivation of the second law has been given up until now; instead it has been based on Kelvin's or Clausius's principles of the impossibility of perpetual motion of the second kind [2], which are based on experiment[3]". This lack of definitive theoretical proof has lead to reports on the violation of the second law [4] or tests over its validity in some particular cases[5], [6].

The first significant contribution to the interpretation of the second law of Thermodynamics and the explanation of irreversibility goes back to Boltzmann. Nevertheless, it is known that Boltzmann's contribution was criticized by arguing that this contradicts the predictions based on the microscopic equations of motion. Later, Gibbs and P. Ehrenfest & T. Ehrenfest worked on this problem by introducing coarse-graining. However, those coarse-graining analyses require the introduction of a *a priori* equal probability principles, which are hard to justify on physical grounds as was criticized by Einstein[7].

In this scenario, generalizing the Gibbs-von Neumann's statistics, it is our contention to provide a mathematical theoretical proof of the second law for an adiabatically isolated system based on first principles without coarse-graining. Our starting point is the description of the state of an isolated N-body system in terms of the set of n-particle reduced density operators in the framework of the BBGKY [Bogolyubov-Born-Green-Kirkwood-Yvon] description [8]. Notice that a global description of the system in terms of the full N-particle density operator is justified for equilibrium systems. Nonetheless, the nonuniformity of nonequilibrium systems leads to a random clusterization and the same global quantity may be obtained for an infinite number of realizations. This fact is taken into account in the BBGKY hierarchy making this an appropriate framework for the description of nonequilibrium systems[9]. In this context, since the collisions are made explicit through the collision term in the equations of motion, the n-particle reduced density operators are not constants of motion, therefore a way of defining the entropy to embody irreversibility might be in terms of this set of reduced density operators. This is what we do here: we propose a functional of the set of n-particle reduced density operators which generalizes the von Neumann relative entropy as the nonequilibrium entropy of the isolated N-body system. We will show that this entropy can not decrease and its rate of change or entropy production, should be non-negative. In addition, as a consequence of obtaining the entropy production we find the expression of

the equilibrium n-particle reduced density operators. In other words, we can know nothing about the equilibrium state without previously solving the dynamics of the system, an idea which coincides with Einstein's point of view on the subject[7].

*Hamiltonian Dynamics.*- Let's consider a dynamical system of N identical particles whose Hamiltonian is given by the N-particle Hamiltonian

$$\mathbf{H}^{(N)} = \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{H}(j) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq k=1}^N \phi(j, k) , \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{H}(j)$  denotes the individual energy of the  $j$ -th particle and  $\phi(j, k)$  the interaction energy between the  $j$ -th and  $k$ -th particles. The state of the system is completely specified at a given time by the N-particle density operator  $\mathbf{D}^{(N)}(1, \dots, N)$  which evolves according to the Liouville-von Neumann equation [8]

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} D_{\alpha\beta}^{(N)} = L_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta} D_{\gamma\delta}^{(N)} , \quad (2)$$

where  $D_{\alpha\beta}^{(N)} = \langle \alpha | \mathbf{D}^{(N)} | \beta \rangle$  are matrix elements and the Liouvillian operator  $\mathbf{L}$  is defined through

$$L_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta} = \left( H_{\alpha\delta}^{(N)} \delta_{\beta\gamma} - H_{\gamma\beta}^{(N)} \delta_{\alpha\delta} \right) . \quad (3)$$

Here, repeated Greek indices mean summation. However, a strictly equivalent alternative description of the state of the system can be given in terms of the set of n-particle reduced density operators [8], [10]

$$\mathcal{D} \equiv \{ \mathbf{D}^{(0)}, \mathbf{D}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{D}^{(N)} \} , \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathcal{D}$  constitutes a density superoperator whose components are the n-particle reduced density operators  $\mathbf{D}^{(n)}(1, \dots, n)$  obtained by taking the trace over  $N - n$  particles, namely,

$$\mathbf{D}^{(n)}(1, \dots, n) = \frac{N!}{(N-n)!} \text{Tr}_{(n+1, \dots, N)} \mathbf{D}^{(N)}(1, \dots, N) , \quad (5)$$

and  $D_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$  (*i.e.*  $\mathbf{D}^{(0)}$  coincides with the unit matrix). These operators satisfy the normalization condition

$$\text{Tr}_{(1, \dots, n)} \{ \mathbf{D}^{(n)}(1, \dots, n) \} = \frac{N!}{(N-n)!} . \quad (6)$$

On the other hand, the dynamics of the  $\mathbf{D}^{(n)}$  follows after performing the operation  $\text{Tr}_{(n+1, \dots, N)}$  on both sides of Eq. (2). Thus, we obtain the so-called quantum BBGKY hierarchy of

equations

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} D_{\alpha\beta}^{(n)} - \left( H_{\alpha\delta}^{(n)} D_{\alpha\gamma}^{(n)} - H_{\gamma\beta}^{(n)} D_{\delta\beta}^{(n)} \right) \\ = \text{Tr}_{(n+1)} \left\{ F_{\alpha\delta}^{(n+1)} D_{\alpha\gamma}^{(n+1)} - F_{\gamma\beta}^{(n+1)} D_{\delta\beta}^{(n+1)} \right\} , \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where  $\mathbf{H}^{(n)}$  is the n-particle Hamiltonian

$$\mathbf{H}^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{H}(j) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq k=1}^n \phi(j, k) \quad (8)$$

and

$$\mathbf{F}^{(p)}(1, \dots, p) = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \phi(j, p) . \quad (9)$$

The second part on the left hand side of Eq. (7) constitutes the streaming term which gives the unitary evolution while the contribution on the right hand side is the collision term responsible for the non-unitary evolution of  $\mathbf{D}^{(n)}$ . Streaming and collision terms balance out at equilibrium when  $\mathbf{D}^{(n)} = \mathbf{D}_{eq}^{(n)}$ .

*Entropy and irreversibility.*- In view of the fact that the statistical state of the system is given in terms of the set of reduced density operators, we define the nonequilibrium entropy

$$\begin{aligned} S &= -k_B \text{Tr} \{ \mathcal{D} (\ln \mathcal{D} - \ln \mathcal{D}_{eq}) \} + S_{eq} \\ &= -k_B \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{1}{n!} \text{Tr} \{ \mathbf{D}^{(n)} (\ln \mathbf{D}^{(n)} - \ln \mathbf{D}_{eq}^{(n)}) \} + S_{eq} , \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

a functional of the density superoperator  $\mathcal{D}$  which constitutes a generalization of the relative or conditional von Neumann entropy. This entropy is the sum of all the n-particle entropies, a definition which is not redundant since the nonequilibrium system behaves as a random mixture of n-particle systems. Here  $S_{eq}$  is the equilibrium entropy which corresponds to the equilibrium density superoperator  $\mathcal{D}_{eq} (= \{ \mathbf{D}^{(0)}, \mathbf{D}_{eq}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{D}_{eq}^{(N)} \})$ . This equilibrium density superoperator is obtained when the right hand side Eq. (7) is balanced by the second term on the left hand side of this equation, which occurs in a time scale related to the collisions[11]. Moreover,  $S_{eq}$  must coincide with the thermodynamic entropy given by the Clausius's, Boltzmann's, Gibbs's etc. entropies.

It should be pointed out that in defining the nonequilibrium entropy through Eq. (10), we assume that the BBGKY hierarchy describes a mixture of 'compressible' fluids, each constituted by the set of n-particle clusters of the same size n. The origin of this 'compressibility'

is the interaction between different fluids which leads to the creation of n-particles clusters at the expense of the annihilation of p-particle clusters with  $n \neq p$ . Each fluid contributes with its entropy, the n-particle entropy, to the total nonequilibrium entropy of our system. Moreover, an additional consequence of the molecular collisions is the fact that each of the n-particle entropies can fluctuate and therefore also  $S$ . In the thermodynamic limit the fluctuations of  $S$  mentioned here should be gaussian, nevertheless this is not necessarily the case for finite  $N$ .

More interestingly here the most important property of this entropy is its direction of change in a natural process. To elucidate this, we first note that since  $\mathbf{X} \ln \mathbf{X}$  is a convex function and  $\mathbf{D}^{(n)}$  and  $\mathbf{D}_{eq}^{(n)}$  both are density operators [12]

$$\text{Tr} \{ \mathbf{D}^{(n)} (\ln \mathbf{D}^{(n)} - \ln \mathbf{D}_{eq}^{(n)}) \} \geq 0 \quad (11)$$

which given  $\mathbf{D}^{(n)} = \sum_m D_m^{(n)} |m\rangle^{(n)(n)} \langle m|$  and  $\mathbf{D}_{eq}^{(n)} = \sum_r D_{eq,r}^{(n)} |r\rangle^{(n)(n)} \langle r|$  where  $D_m^{(n)}$  and  $D_{eq,r}^{(n)}$  are eigenvalues and  $|m\rangle^{(n)}$  and  $|r\rangle^{(n)}$  eigenvectors, can be written

$$\sum_{q,m} |^{(n)}\langle r | m \rangle^{(n)}|^2 \{ D_m^{(n)} (\ln D_m^{(n)} - \ln D_{eq,r}^{(n)}) \} \geq 0 . \quad (12)$$

Additionally since  $D_m^{(n)}$  gives the probability of the state  $|m\rangle$  at time  $t$ , it should be bounded so that

$$0 \leq \sum_{q,m} |^{(n)}\langle r | m \rangle^{(n)}|^2 \{ D_m^{(n)} (\ln D_m^{(n)} - \ln D_{eq,r}^{(n)}) \} \leq C \quad (13)$$

for a given constant  $C$ , which implies that the entropy  $S$  possesses a lower bound

$$S - S_{eq} = -k_B \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{q,m} |^{(n)}\langle r | m \rangle^{(n)}|^2 \{ D_m^{(n)} (\ln D_m^{(n)} - \ln D_{eq,r}^{(n)}) \} \geq -k_B \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{1}{n!} C . \quad (14)$$

Thus, one concludes that the nonequilibrium entropy can not decrease.

*Entropy production.*- Following the previous line of thought, by using the BBGKY hierarchy Eq. (7) one can compute the rate of change of  $S$  Eq. (10), *i.e.* the entropy production, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} S &= -i\hbar^{-1} k_B \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{1}{n!} \text{Tr} \{ [(\mathbf{H}^{(n)} + \mathcal{F}^{(n)}), \mathbf{D}^{(n)}] (\ln \mathbf{D}_{eq}^{(n)} - \ln \mathbf{D}^{(n)}) \} = \\ &= -i\hbar^{-1} k_B \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{1}{n!} \text{Tr} \{ [(\mathbf{H}^{(n)} + \mathcal{F}^{(n)}), \ln \mathbf{D}_{eq}^{(n)}] \mathbf{D}^{(n)} \} \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where the cyclic invariance property of the trace has been used and  $[.., ..]$  is the commutator. Moreover,  $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  has been defined through  $\mathbf{D}^{(n)} \mathcal{F}^{(n)} = \text{Tr}_{(n+1)} \{ D^{(n+1)} F^{(n+1)} \}$  does accounting for the interaction among the fixed particles of the  $n - th$  cluster with the remaining  $N - n$  particles of the system. The entropy production (15) is zero at equilibrium when  $\mathbf{D}^{(n)} = \mathbf{D}_{eq}^{(n)}$  whereas in any other case it is generally non-zero, so in view of our conclusion after Eq. (14) the entropy production should be positive. Thus, it might be said that this constitutes a general microscopic proof of the second law.

In addition, as a consequence of Eq. (15), one obtains that

$$[\mathbf{H}^{(n)} + \mathcal{F}_{eq}^{(n)}, \ln \mathbf{D}_{eq}^{(n)}] = 0 \quad (16)$$

which suffices to satisfy the extremum condition  $\delta(\partial S / \partial t) / \delta \mathbf{D}^{(n)}|_{eq} = 0$  meaning that

$$\ln \mathbf{D}_{eq}^{(n)} = K (\mathbf{H}^{(n)} + \mathcal{F}_{eq}^{(n)}) \quad (17)$$

for a given proportionality constant  $K$ , which after substitution in Eq. (15) allows us to rewrite this equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} S = -i\hbar^{-1} k_B K \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{1}{n!} \text{Tr} \{ [\mathcal{F}^{(n)}, \mathcal{F}_{eq}^{(n)}] \mathbf{D}^{(n)} \} , \quad (18)$$

a compact way of writing  $\partial S / \partial t$ . Our result given through Eqs. (15) and (18) is exact, thus possessing the generality that is lacking in the Boltzmann's H-theorem restricted to the molecular chaos regime.

In the case that the system is in contact with a heat bath one can say that  $\mathcal{D}_{eq}(t)$  and  $S_{eq}(t)$ , thus we can write

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} S = \dot{\sigma} + \frac{\dot{Q}}{T_o} , \quad (19)$$

where  $\dot{\sigma}$  is the irreversible entropy production given by right hand side of Eq. (18) and  $\dot{Q}/T_o = \partial S_{eq} / \partial t$ , with  $\dot{Q}$  being the the rate of heat interchange and  $T_o$  is the bath temperature. According to our previous discussion  $\dot{\sigma} \geq 0$ , therefore

$$\delta S \geq \frac{\delta Q}{T_o} \quad (20)$$

which corresponds to the Clausius' form of the second law. Hence,

$$T_o \delta \sigma = T_o \delta S - \delta Q \quad (21)$$

coincides with the energy dissipated in the irreversible process. On the other hand, for reversible processes  $\mathcal{D}(t) = \mathcal{D}_{eq}(t)$  at every moment, therefore  $\sigma = 0$  and Eq. (20) becomes

$$\delta S = \frac{\delta Q}{T_o} \quad (22)$$

*Conclusions.-* We find that the description of the N-body system in the framework of the BBGKY hierarchy enables us to prove that the nonequilibrium entropy increases in a natural process, which constitutes a general microscopic proof of the second law. We emphasize that the entropy should be defined as a functional of the density superoperator. Likewise, our theoretical analysis allows us to obtain the expression of the set of equilibrium n-particle density operators, *i.e.* the equilibrium density superoperator. This fact shows that the state of equilibrium is determined as a consequence of the dynamics and not given *a priori*.

As to how irreversibility can be derived from the reversible equations of mechanics, although the BBGKY hierarchy (7) expressing the microscopic dynamics is invariant under the time reversal operation, thus representing a set of reversible equations, this fact is not contradicted by the law of increase of nonequilibrium entropy we have derived. Let me explain, according to Eq. (7), a stationary state is reached when the right hand side of this equation is balanced by the second term on the left hand side, this being the equilibrium state since this is the only stationary state for an isolated system[13]. This stationarity or equilibrium condition is the same both for positive and negative time without distinction since we could say that at equilibrium there is no distinction between positive and negative time because at equilibrium time does not exist. Thus, the evolution along the time line either in the direct or inverse sense always ends in one and the same equilibrium state. Additionally, we have shown in the discussion after Eq. (10) that the process of relaxation towards equilibrium entails the production of entropy according to Eqs. (15) and (18), thus having the character of an irreversible process. In conclusion, it could be said that time inversion is a mathematical artifice since there is only one Universe whose state given through the density superoperator (distribution functions in the classical language) evolves in one direction, the direction along which entropy increases up to its equilibrium value  $S_{eq}$ , whether this direction be direct or inverse. According to the time reversal invariance this is what one would predict. In fact, let us suppose that we have two identical isolated systems A and B, which at  $t = 0$  have positive and negative velocities, respectively. In those conditions, the past of B is the future of A or conversely, the future of B is the past of A. This can

be reworded in the following terms, if at a given time let us say  $t = 0$ , an isolated system is out of the equilibrium state, then  $S$  is at a local minimum and thus both  $\partial S/\partial t \geq 0$  for  $t > 0$  and  $\partial S/\partial t \leq 0$  for  $t < 0$ , hence it follows that  $\partial S/\partial t$  is not necessarily a continuous function of time. Therefore, in those terms there is no reversible paradox.

It should be stressed that in the basis of our treatment there is the assumption that the state of the system at a given time is determined by an statistical operator which is a function of the wave function, and therefore the Poincaré recurrence theorem does not apply. In the classical language we would say that we do not deal with trajectories in the phase space but rather with distribution functions which determine the state of the system at a given time. A more fundamental proof of the fact that our theory does not incur in the recurrence paradox comes from the behavior of the entropy production  $\partial S/\partial t$  as a function of the time explained above.

To conclude, we have shown here that the reversible microscopic dynamics induces macroscopic irreversibility.

- 
- [1] E.G.D. Cohen and D. Mauzurall, J. Stat. Mech.: Theor. Exp., P07006 (2004).
  - [2] N.G. van Kampen, *Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990).
  - [3] G.E. Crooks, J. Stat. Phys. **90**, 1481 (1998).
  - [4] G.M. Wang, E.M. Sevik, E. Mittag, D.J. Searles, and D.J. Evans, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 050601 (2002).
  - [5] Sumiyoshi Abe and A.K. Rajagopal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 120601 (2003).
  - [6] G.W. Ford and R.F. O'Connell, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 020402 (2006).
  - [7] E.G.D. Cohen, PRANAMA J. Phys. **64**, 635 (2005); Physica A **305**, 19 (2002).
  - [8] N.N. Bogoliubov, *Lectures on Quantum Statistics*, Vol. 1, (Gordon & Breach, New York, 1967); J. von Neumann, *Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics*, Chap. IV, (Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1955).
  - [9] G.A. Martynov, J. Exp. Theo. Phys. (JETP) **80**, 1056 (1995).
  - [10] D.B. Boercker and J.W. Dufty, Annals of Phys. **119**, 43 (1979).
  - [11] K. Huang, *Statistical Mechanics*, Second Edition (Wiley, 1987).

- [12] R. Balian, *From Microphysics to Macrophysics*, Vol. 1, Springer-Verlag, 1982; Sect. 3.2.2
- [13] Richard C. Tolman, *The Principles of Statistical Mechanics*, (Dover, 1980).